

Communication from Public

Name: Estella Suarez
Date Submitted: 08/22/2021 11:17 AM
Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: This Ordinance is attempting to violate our Freedoms protected under the California Constitution, The CA Patient Bill of Rights, The USA Constitution, the Unruh Act, and the Civil Rights act of 1964. The California Constitution Protects people's right to medical freedom, right to equal protection under the law. The CA Patient Bill of Rights Protects people's right to refuse lifesaving treatment, refuse medical research, and refuse experimentation. The Unruh act outlaws all arbitrary discrimination from the public and private organizations whether unintentional or intentional. The Civil Rights act of 1964 prohibits any federally funded organization from participating in the discrimination of personal characteristics. All these laws have been established LONG after the 1903 smallpox case that the City Council is determined to cite the 1903 smallpox laws. These century old laws were unconstitutional and causes great harm to this society. The 1903 laws encouraged Racial Cleansing. These laws were precursors to the 1913 Eugenics Movement, and was an inspiration to the laws set by Nazis during the prosecution of people in the Holocaust. These laws are antiques and fossils of the darkest shadows of this country. SLAM DOWN THIS ORDINANCE. WE THE PEOPLE ARE OPPOSED. My public comments are not showing up on this system. Please make sure this comment is submitted to FILE 21-0878 Chief Legislative Analyst and City Attorney verbal updates relative to COVID-19 vaccination requirements for individuals entering indoor spaces.

SPECIAL MEETING – AD HOC COMMITTEE ON COVID-19 RECOVERY AND NEIGHBORHOOD INVESTMENT Tuesday, August 24, 2021 JOHN FERRARO COUNCIL CHAMBER, ROOM 340, CITY HALL - 1:30 PM 200 NORTH SPRING STREET, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012 MEMBERS: COUNCILMEMBER NURY MARTINEZ, CHAIR COUNCILMEMBER MARQUEECE HARRIS-DAWSON COUNCILMEMBER CURREN D. PRICE COUNCILMEMBER GILBERT CEDILLO COUNCILMEMBER MITCH O'FARRELL 21-0878

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/22/2021 01:21 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing to implore you not to vote 'yes' to vaccine passports. Vaccine passports are totally unnecessary and will cause harm that could be irreparable to our society and country. First of all, they will increase segregation. Is that really what we need now? The idea that most people are vaccinated is plain wrong. I know a lot of people personally that cannot or choose not to be vaccinated. Not only is this their choice, they should not be demeaned and punished for it. It is un-American and un-constitutional. The Government cannot tell us what to put in our bodies or force us to have a medical procedure, so how is it that we should be forced to get a vaccine? Second, a vaccine passport would ruin our local economies by denying access to large swaths of the population. What of the under-served, under-privileged Latino and Black communities that don't have good access to resources? You're going to punish them by not allowing them to enter stores to access their basic needs? This stinks of human rights violations. This is not Nazi Germany. However, a vaccine passport is a step back towards Jim Crow and Nazi segregation. You serve us, the people, if you've somehow forgotten. A depressed economy means that we cannot pay taxes to you, which means that you do not have a paycheck anymore. Please, do your job. If you think that a vaccine passport will protect us, your populace, you are completely wrong.

Communication from Public

Name: Brad Hartman
Date Submitted: 08/22/2021 09:18 PM
Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear City Council, Not allowing the non-vaccinated into stores including grocery stores is basically condemning residents into starvation. Not all persons can be vaccinated and some choose not to be. Poor and underserved residents do not have the means to travel to buy groceries or have them delivered. Homeless certainly can't go outside the city to acquire food and water just to survive. Why would you impose a death sentence on your own constituents? People may have a choice not to go to the movies, but they must eat and drink, buy clothes, and health aids like prescription drugs to survive, and you have no right to deprive them of their ability to acquire necessities. Is this America where our constitutional rights are respected or a totalitarian state? Those who have the means will shop outside the city depriving it of revenue due to lost sales tax and income taxes. 63% of adult residents in LA County are vaccinated, that means that 37% are not. They will not be able to shop in LA City. Are businesses willing to lose 1/3 or more of their customers and associated income? The minority population have lower vaccination rates. Are you willing to discriminate against the poor who can least afford reduced access to basic necessities? Compelling anyone to take any current Covid-19 vaccine violates federal and state law. The vaccine remains unapproved by the CDC and authorized only for emergency use, federal law forbids mandating it, in accordance with the Nuremberg Code of 1947; At the outset, consider the "problem" being "solved" by vaccination mandates: dangerous or deadly transmission, by the asymptomatic or pre-symptomatic employee, in the employment setting. Yet even government official Mr. Fauci admits, as scientific studies affirm, asymptomatic transmission is "very rare." The vaccines don't prevent transmission, according to the CDC. "Fully vaccinated people who get a Covid-19 breakthrough infection can transmit the virus", said US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Director Dr. Rochelle Walensky, Thursday, August 5th, on CNN. "Our vaccines are working exceptionally well," Walensky told CNN's Wolf Blitzer. "They continue to work well for Delta, with regard to severe illness and death -- they prevent it. But what they can't do anymore is prevent transmission." That's why the CDC changed its guidance last week and is now recommending even vaccinated people wear masks indoors again, Walensky said. Current data suggests the vaccinated are just as, or even much more, likely to transmit the virus as the asymptomatic or pre-symptomatic. Hence, the vaccine solves nothing. Forcing an experimental vaccine on residents does nothing to reduce the spread of Covid or improve safety in retail spaces, but rather puts at risk individuals that shouldn't or don't want to get vaccinated, exposing them to adverse side effects, including death. And the vaccinated can transmit the virus without even knowing they are infected. Educating the public on how to increase their individual immune systems using Vitamin D, C, Zinc and Quercetin, would do more to protect the population as it protects against vaccine breakthrough cases as well. Ivermectin has successfully prevented and treated Covid infections throughout the world including a 93% reduction in cases in India during their recent surge. See www.FLCCC.net for more details and protocol specifics including Ivermectin study results. Other methods exist today to prevent Covid transmission besides vaccination, which no longer works, per the CDC. ***** This ordinance would also require all retail employees to be vaccinated. Indeed, any employer mandating a vaccine is liable to their employee for any adverse event suffered by that employee. Businesses don't want that liability. ***** The CDC records reports of the adverse events already reported to date concerning the current Covid-19 vaccine including death and disability. => <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/vaccines/safety/vaers.html>, The CDC shows over 13,000 deaths, over 53,000 hospitalized, and over 72,000 emergency room visits due to the vaccine. It certainly not 'safe' or risk free. A young man is 25 times more likely to suffer myocarditis from the vaccine than to die from Covid. You can see summary search results from the VAERS Database at <https://www.medalerts.org/vaersdb/findfield.php?TABLE=ON&GROUP1=CAT&EVENTS=ON&VAX=COVID19> Finally, forced vaccines constitute a form of battery, and the Supreme Court long made clear "no right is more sacred than the right of every individual to the control of their own person, free from all restraint or interference of others" => <https://www.law.cornell.edu/supremecourt/text/141/250> Don't deprive the residents of their constitutional and innate rights to basic necessities and cause death and illness in Los Angeles. I've attached my letter with supporting materials. Regards, Brad Hartman

Dear City Council,

Not allowing the non-vaccinated into stores including grocery stores is basically condemning residents into starvation. Not all persons can be vaccinated for medical reasons and some choose not to be. Poor and underserved residents do not have the means to travel to buy groceries or have them delivered. Homeless certainly can't go outside the city to acquire food and water just to survive.

Why would you impose a death sentence on your own constituents? People may have a choice not to go to the movies or baseball stadium, but they must eat and drink, buy clothes and medicine to survive, and you have no right to deprive them of their ability to acquire necessities. Is this America where our constitutional rights are respected or a totalitarian state?

Those who have the means will shop outside the city depriving it of revenue due to lost sales tax and income taxes. 63% of adult residents in LA County are vaccinated, that means that 37% are not. They will not be able to shop in LA City. Are businesses willing to lose 1/3 or more of their customers and associated income?

The number of unvaccinated is certainly higher in the city than the County due to a higher minority population which have lower vaccination rates. Are you willing to discriminate against the poor who can least afford reduced access to basic necessities?

Compelling anyone to take any current Covid-19 vaccine violates federal and state law

Four key concerns:

* First, while the vaccine remains unapproved by the CDC and authorized only for emergency use, federal law forbids mandating it, in accordance with the Nuremberg Code of 1947;

* Second, the Americans with Disabilities Act proscribes, punishes and penalizes those who invasively inquire into someone's medical status and then treat those individuals differently based on their medical status, as the many AIDS related cases of decades ago fully attest; and

* Third, international law, Constitutional law, specific statutes and the common law of torts all forbid conditioning access upon coerced, invasive medical examinations and treatment, unless the mandating person can fully provide objective, scientifically validated evidence of the threat from the employee and how no practicable alternative could possibly suffice to mitigate such supposed public health threat and still perform the necessary essentials of employment.

At the outset, consider the “problem” being “solved” by vaccination mandates: dangerous or deadly transmission, by the asymptomatic or pre-symptomatic employee, in the business setting. Yet even government official Mr. Fauci admits, as scientific studies affirm, asymptomatic transmission is “very rare.”

Symptomatic individuals already self-isolate by staying home when sick, just like we've always done to prevent the spread of flu or a cold. **The previously infected are better protected than the vaccinated, so why aren't they exempted?** Anyone who suspects they may be infected can get tested.

The vaccines don't prevent transmission, according to the CDC. "Fully vaccinated people who get a Covid-19 breakthrough infection can transmit the virus", said US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Director Dr. Rochelle Walensky, Thursday, August 5th, on CNN.

"Our vaccines are working exceptionally well," Walensky told CNN's Wolf Blitzer. "They continue to work well for Delta, with regard to severe illness and death -- they prevent it. **But what they can't do anymore**

is prevent transmission." That's why the CDC changed its guidance last week and is now recommending even vaccinated people wear masks indoors again, Walensky said.

Current data suggests the vaccinated are just as, or even much more, likely to transmit the virus as the asymptomatic or pre-symptomatic. Hence, the vaccine solves nothing. Forcing an experimental vaccine on residents does nothing to reduce the spread of Covid or improve safety in retail spaces, but rather puts at risk individuals that shouldn't or don't want to get vaccinated, exposing them to adverse side effects, including death.

Educating the public on how to increase their individual immune systems using Vitamin D, C, Zinc and Quercetin, would do more to protect the population as it protects against vaccine breakthrough cases as well. Ivermectin has successfully prevented and treated Covid infections throughout the world including a 93% reduction in cases in India during their recent surge. See www.FLCCC.net for more details and protocol specifics including Ivermectin study results.

Low Vitamin D levels have been linked to higher infection and Covid death rates in several medical studies.

Other methods exist today to prevent Covid transmission besides vaccination, which no longer works, per the CDC.

***** This ordinance would also require all retail employees to be vaccinated. Indeed, any employer mandating a vaccine is liable to their employee for any adverse event suffered by that employee. ***** The CDC records reports of the adverse events already reported to date concerning the current Covid-19 vaccine including death and disability. **Over 13,000 deaths, 17,000 permanent disabilities, 72,000 Emergency Room visits, 54,000 hospitalized. Certainly not a 'safe' or risk free experimental treatment.**

=> [cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/vaccines/safety](https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/vaccines/safety), A summary is shown below: [Search Results from the VAERS Database \(medalerts.org\)](https://medalerts.org/)

National Vaccine Information Center
Your Health. Your Family. Your Choice.

[MedAlerts Home](#)

Search Results

From the 8/13/2021 release of VAERS data:

Found 595,622 cases where Vaccine is COVID19

Table

Event Outcome	Count	Percent
Death	13,068	2.19%
Permanent Disability	17,228	2.89%
Office Visit	98,760	16.58%
Emergency Room	57	0.01%
Emergency Doctor/Room	72,643	12.2%
Hospitalized	53,979	9.06%
Hospitalized, Prolonged	163	0.03%
Recovered	197,913	33.23%
Birth Defect	376	0.06%
Life Threatening	13,811	2.32%
Not Serious	242,634	40.74%
TOTAL	† 710,632	† 119.31%

† Because some cases have multiple vaccinations and symptoms, a single case can account for multiple entries in this table. This is the reason why the Total Count is greater than 595622 (the number of cases found), and the Total Percentage is greater than 100.

Israeli data is more complete than the US data and has vaccinated more of their population. The table below from the **Israel Ministry of Health** shows no difference in rate of Covid cases among the vaccinated and unvaccinated, so effectiveness of the vaccine to prevent infection is almost non-existent. Example 89% of 50-59 year olds are vaccinated and 88% of the Covid cases are vaccinated individuals.

Israel Confirmed Cases, June 27 th – July 3 rd , Vaccinated vs. Unvaccinated				
Age Group	Cases, Vaccinated	Cases, Unvaccinated	Percent of Cases Vaccinated	Percent of Population Vaccinated
20-29	95	25	79%	78%
30-39	133	33	80%	83%
40-49	175	33	84%	86%
50-59	127	18	88%	89%
60-69	134	16	89%	91%
70-79	90	7	93%	95%
80-89	23	2	92%	94%
90+	2	0	100%	93%
גיל גיבועת	מחוונים מחוונים נדבקים לא נדבקים אחד מחוונים באוכלוסיה			
ישראל, מקרי קורונה מאומתים, 27 ביוני עד 3 ביולי, מחוונים לעומת מחוונים				

Source: Israel Ministry of Health Dashboard
<https://datadashboard.health.gov.il/COVID-19/general>

Finally, forced vaccines constitute a form of battery, and the Supreme Court long made clear "no right is more sacred than the right of every individual to the control of their own person, free from all restraint or interference of others" => law.cornell.edu/supremecourt/text/141/250

Don't deprive the residents of their constitutional and innate rights to basic necessities and cause death, illness and suffering in Los Angeles.

With Regards,
Brad Hartman

Communication from Public

Name: Nicole Flood
Date Submitted: 08/22/2021 11:33 PM
Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing in opposition to the Covid-19 Vaccination requirement ordinance that would mandate proof of Covid-19 vaccination or proof of a negative PCR test in order to enter indoor venues (restaurants, stores, gyms, theaters, other businesses, etc). This imposition of the Covid-19 "passport" would essentially destroy businesses in your city by forcing them to incur liability if they mistakenly admit customers who are not vaccinated/do not have a negative test/ are missing a mask. You will likely ensure that visitors as well as residents will choose to use Big-tech sources such as AMAZON to supply their needs at the expense of the brick and mortar businesses in your area. If these Covid-19 vaccination verification systems are mandated in order to enter your city businesses, this will create significant social and racial equity issues by unfairly impacting low income people who would have trouble traveling to another County to get what they need if they cannot get the experimental Covid shots due to health issues, religious issues, and/or other reasons outside of their control. In an era of "inclusivity," a Covid-19 vaccine "passport" which this City Council is hoping to mandate would create a two-tier society in which persons would have to choose between the unknown adverse effects of these experimental shots or face being excluded from indoor businesses to obtain food, medicines, or simply social interaction. The fact that the Covid-19 vaccines do not prevent the contraction of the virus and its variants, nor the transmission of the virus for those vaccinated decimates the reasons for imposing such vaccines in LA City. In fact, the clinical trial study designs for COVID vaccines did not address transmission, but merely addressed reducing symptoms, as explained in the materials they submitted to the FDA to obtain Emergency Use Authorization. (see <https://www.fda.gov/media/144245/download>; <https://www.fda.gov/media/144434/download>; <https://www.fda.gov/media/146217/download>). Such mandates do not address the fact that many in the population have survived Covid-19 and have greater immunity that won't require booster shots in 6 months unlike the those vaccinated with these experimental Covid shots. This City Council must not forget that Covid vaccines HAVE NO LONG-TERM SAFETY TESTING thanks to the warp speed at which the FDA has been pressured to approve these Covid shots - this has made it impossible to determine what these vaccines will do to humans in the medium-to long-term. (see <https://www.fda.gov/emergency-preparedness-and-response/mcm-legal-regulatory-and-policy-framework/emergency-use-authorization>). The pharmaceutical companies have no liability for creating and rushing clinical trials on these Covid-19 vaccines (and others like them). So who would be the target of adverse results from these experimental Covid vaccines? The businesses, employers, and LA City which impose such mandates would be ones to face the lawsuits. The City has already made itself vulnerable to liability by imposing these experimental Covid vaccines upon its employees even though the Covid shots were only approved for Emergency Use (which means it is ILLEGAL for LA City to force an employee to get the shot in order to keep her City job.) To impose these tyrannical Covid-19 vaccine requirements to attend indoor venues in LA City would create the "1984 Orwellian" society in which the government invades individuals' HIPAA privacy rights and tramples the constitutional rights of visitors and citizens of LA City. Covid 19 vaccine verification mandates - if approved - will destroy this City's economy further simply, because its citizens and businesses will choose to leave/close and outsiders would choose not to visit. You certainly will lose long-trusted employees in which the City has invested hours and money to train how to perform valuable city jobs. You have already undermined the safety of LA City by mandating these experimental vaccines upon police and fire employees who will likely leave for better opportunities in other cities or states. Do not further infringe upon the constitutional rights of residents, businesses, and visitors by voting to mandate a Covid Vaccine Verification system. It is wrong and will destroy the City of Los Angeles.